

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/552,716	552,716 10/11/2005		Malte Gross	P70713US0	6821		
136	7590	10/12/2006		EXAMINER			
JACOBSO 400 SEVEN		IAN PLLC EET N W	STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F				
SUITE 600	··· orne	.21 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	ron, dc	20004	3761				
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/12/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office A	10/552,716		GROSS ET AL.						
Office A	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Jacqueline F. St	•	3761					
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	pears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may I after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	CATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' DNGER, FROM THE MAILING Do be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 orm the mailing date of this communication. Specified above, the maximum statutory period or set or extended period for reply will, by statute to Office later than three months after the mailing thment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire t, cause the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this o 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)☐ Responsive t	o communication(s) filed on								
2a) ☐ This action is	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
3) Since this ap	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-13	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s)									
8) Claim(s)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specificat	ion is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119	-		-					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
<u>=</u>	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	of the certified copies of the prio				Stage				
_ •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References (		4) 🗌	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ul><li>2) Notice of Draftsperson</li><li>3) Information Disclosure</li></ul>	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🗀	1						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:									

Art Unit: 3761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 3761

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polaschegg USPN 4894164 in view of Storey et al. USPN 4202760.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Polaschegg USPN 4894164. Polaschegg describes a blood treatment device having a blood purification element divided into two chambers by a semipermeable membrane whose first chamber 115 is part of a dialysis fluid loop and whose second chamber 202 is part of an extracorporeal blood loop 202. The device has a fluid supply line 125 for supplying fresh dialysis fluid to the first chamber and a dialysis removal line 125 for removing used dialysis fluid from the first chamber. The device has a control unit 106 and an analysis unit 111 The device comprise at least one sensor 109 and a pump 114. The analysis unit is capable of determining the blood purification performance (col. 6, lines 26-38). Polaschegg does not disclose the device differentiates between two materials namely sodium and one of potassium, glucose, creatinine, calcium, or phosphate. Storey discloses removal of these substances in an apparatus and method for preparation of a hemodialysis solution (col. 5, lines 1-62). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the invention of Polaschegg with the ability to monitor the blood purification of claimed materials for the benefit of returning to the patient blood with a normal concentration of the materials, which Storey teaches is desired (Storey col. 1, lines 45-50).

Art Unit: 3761

The limitations of claims 2-10 are directed to an intended use of the article. Intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim limitations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/552,716

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jacqueline F Stephens Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

October 2, 2006